UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,897	07/28/2006	Hiroko Sakai	293997US0PCT	3513
22850 7590 09/13/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			LAM, CATHY FONG FONG	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1784	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/587,897	SAKAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cathy Lam	1784			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are withdresses 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11 The path or dealerstion is a biasted to but the Filed.	or election requirement.  er. epted or b)  objected to by the Education of the Education o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04-08-2010.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/587,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 1784

### **DETAILED ACTION**

In view of the amendment and remarks filed on June 29, 2010, the pending claims continue to be unpatentable as following:

#### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 5-6 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on Jan 27, 2010. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa et al (US 7482111) in view of Vikesland (US 4571374).

Nishikawa teaches a polymeric compound which is a negative radiation sensitive resin composition. The resin composition is comprised of a polymer with the following formula:

Application/Control Number: 10/587,897 Page 3

Art Unit: 1784

Wherein R<sub>1</sub> is an H atom or a methyl group;

 $R_2$  is  $-(CH_2)_n$ -, n is an integer of 0 to 3;

 $R_3$  is an alkyl group of 1 to 4 Carbon atoms, and m is an integer of 0 to 4 (col 3 L 10-43).

The polymer also comprised of a monomer (C) which can either be a phenolic hydroxyl group compound or a carboxyl group compound (col 5 L 29 - col 6 L 41). The polymer further comprised of a crosslinking agent (D), a radiation activated radical polymerization initiator (E) and an organic solvent (F) (col 8 L 37-55, col 9 L 15 and col 7 L 15).

Nishikawa teaches that the radiation sensitive resin composition is used over a plated article such as an IC or a transfer film, etc. (col 1 L 6-15). The polymeric compound is useful as a photoresist for forming a bump or a wiring pattern over a substrate.

Nishikawa however does not teach using two layers of such polymeric compound layers over a substrate.

Vikesland teaches a dry film positive acting photoresist layers are used for forming wiring patterns.

The dry film photoresist layers comprised of at least two layers over a strippable carrier layer; i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> photoresist layer // 2<sup>nd</sup> photoresist layer // carrier layer. The first (outer or upper) layer is a positive acting photoresist layer, and the second (inner or lower) layer is a thermoplastic positive acting adhesive photoresist layer. The 2<sup>nd</sup> layer contains a lower effective amount of photosolubilizer than the 1st positive acting photoresist layer (col 2 L 23-30).

The 2<sup>nd</sup> (inner or lower) layer is also more crosslinkable than the 1st (outer or upper) layer. The 2<sup>nd</sup> layer is adhered to a substrate to be etched, and the 2nd layer is placed between the substrate and the 1st layer.

In view of the prior art teachings, one skill in the art would use a two layer photoresist layers system for patterning because a less radiation sensitive second photoresist layer acts as an adhesive layer for bonding the negative radiation sensitive resin (or radiation sensitive resin) to the substrate, and also minimizes undercuts during processing.

### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on June 29, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant traverses the art rejections and raises the following issues:
- A. Nishikawa fails to disclose a two-layer laminated film comprising a lower layer comprising a radiation-nonsensitive resin composition.
- B. Vikesland fails to disclose a two-layer laminated film of the present invention.In respond to the above issues:

Art Unit: 1784

A&B. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant has not shown any advantages of using a bi-layer laminate film with the inner layer is radiation non-sensitive and the outer layer a radiation sensitive. It is believed that the art rejection was proper and should be sustained.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,897 Page 6

Art Unit: 1784

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cathy Lam/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1784